

Annual General Meeting – Motueka ICM Programme
Wednesday, 23 October 2002, Nelson
(Project Leaders and invited Stakeholders)

Purpose: Meeting to discuss the technical details of specific projects and their interaction in the new advancement bid. These discussions will pick up on and extend the discussions from the public presentations on Wednesday.

Venue: Landcare Research, conference room, Cawthron Campus

Start: 8:30 am

Background: Many of the key projects in the programme have already been identified through extensive stakeholder consultation and progress on some projects has already begun this year. The current year (2002-2003) is a 'roll over' year in which the Foundation has allocated funding to the programme for one year, to sustain our efforts as the Foundation implements a new plan for sequencing review and renewal of their research portfolio. The Motueka ICM programme will be up for review and renewal this year. A new bid will be 'advanced' to the Foundation in February 2003, with a new contract beginning July (possibly September) 2003. Thus, this ICM/AGM is a particularly important part of the overall planning process for our bid. It should be emphasised that the ICM/AGM is only *part* of the planning process. Individual researchers-stakeholder meetings will be held both before and after the ICM/AGM to help refine the programme shape and directions.

Process: These discussions will build on the individual presentations made by Project Leaders on the previous day (Tuesday). However, rather than focussing on specific projects in isolation, we will focus on particular thematic questions. The idea is to get – as quickly as we can – to the heart of the issue. In each case, we will focus on three key questions:

1. What have we proposed to do?
2. How does/should the proposed work link with other components in and outside the programme?
3. What other stakeholders and collaborators can/should we involve, locally and internationally?

Discussion Questions:

8:30 – 10:00 The key elements of our 'Human Dimension' research include components focused on participatory processes, knowledge dissemination, Maori inclusiveness, resource valuation, and ecosystem services. What are the *specific* expected products of these efforts and how will we achieve them? How do we go about 'internalising' the Human Dimension effort across our programme areas and avoid marginalising it as an 'external' add-on? How can we develop real synergy between the 'biophysical' and 'community' aspects of our Mike Krause, research? How should we progress the development of a 'Sector Reference Group' to complement our 'Community Reference Group'? (Discussion Initiator: Will Allen)

10:00-10:30 Morning tea (provided)

10:30 – 12:00 The key elements of our *land* and *freshwater* biophysical research include components on groundwater resource evaluation, sediment generation and transport, stream habitat valuation, and riparian management. How can we go about better linking these elements *within* the programme? How can we go about better linking these elements to related elements in *other* programmes (e.g., the Taieri programme, the NIWA River Ecosystems

Programme). What are the *specific* expected products of these efforts and how will we achieve them? (Discussion Initiator: Roger Young).

12:00 – 12:30 *Special discussion topic:* What can/should we do relevant to riparian research and management? (Discussion Initiator Chris Phillips)

12:30-1:30 Lunch (provided)

1:30 – 3:00 The key elements of our *marine* biophysical research include components on coastal circulation, biogeochemical processes, sedimentation, and assessment of primary and secondary productivity. How can we go about better linking these elements *within* the programme? How can we go about better linking these elements to related elements in *other* programmes (e.g., NIWA coastal programmes). What are the *specific* expected products of these efforts and how will we achieve them? (Discussion Initiator: Paul Gillespie)

3:00 – 3:30 Afternoon tea (provided)

3:30 – 5:00 We have committed to the idea that one of the key integrating products this programme could produce is an ‘Integrated Environmental Assessment System’. What are the objectives/outputs of this system? What are it’s design requirements? What sort of linkages – both inside the programme and outside – will be required to make it work? What key persons/organisations need to be involved? What sort of milestones should we set? (Discussion initiator: Linda Lilburne)